

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,094	08/22/2003	Richard L. Dubay	D398.12-0001	8387	
	7590 06/22/2007 NGE P A	EXAMINER			
KINNEY & LANGE, P.A. THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING			JOHNSON, MATTHEW A		
312 SOUTH TH MINNEAPOLI	HIRD STREET S. MN 55415-1002	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNELL CE	5,111100110100		3682		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/646,094	DUBAY, RICHARD L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Matthew Johnson	3682		

	Matthew Johnson	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. \( \times\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follop places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the annronris	te extension fee
Exercision to think may be commended of a model of a model of a many and a many a bean filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of example .37 CFR 1.178) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the lest forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Automotion of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ol>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.5.7-9.12-14.16-18 and 20-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	.0.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1111	16
13. 🔲 Other:	7	11/	X
	su	RICHARD HI	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments alter the scope of the claims thus requiring further search and consideration.